

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Elaine M O'Neil Office of the City Attorney 1390 Market Street, Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Ms. O'Neil:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates the City of San Francisco's interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thanne Cox

Attorney Advisor

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA

Melinda Garvey, EPA

Abhik Dutta, EPA



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hauthorne Street

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Nicholas W. van Aelstyn Beveridge & Diamond, PC 456 Montgomery Street ~ Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. van Aelstyn:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates your clients' interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thanne Cox

Attorney Advisor

Ham Cy

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA
Melinda Garvey, EPA
Abhik Dutta, EPA
David Batson



75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Katherine Tobias
California Department of Parks and Recreation
1416 9th Street, 14th Floor
Sacramento, California 95814

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Ms. Tobias:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates California Department of Parks and Recreation's interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thanne Cox

Attorney Advisor

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA

Melinda Garvey, EPA Abhik Dutta, EPA



75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Mark A. Rigau
Senior Trial Counsel
U.S. Dept. of Justice
Environment and Natural Resources Division
Environmental Defense Section
301 Howard Street, Suite 1050
San Francisco, California 94105

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. Rigau:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates your interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

at david batson@verizon.net or 202 / 494-8702 (cell).

EPA hopes you are able to participate in both meetings on May 31. If you need additional information about the meetings or the Yosemite Slough Site, you can contact me at (415) 972-3908 or <a href="mailto:cox.elizabeth@epa.gov">cox.elizabeth@epa.gov</a>. Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thanne Cox

Attorney Advisor

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA
Melinda Garvey, EPA
Abhik Dutta, EPA
David Batson



75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Jim Thomas DLA Office of Counsel Bldg 849 Rm. A54 5851 F Avenue Hill AFB, Utah 84056-5713

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. Thomas:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates DLA's interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thanne Cox

**Attorney Advisor** 

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA Melinda Garvey, EPA

Abhik Dutta, EPA



75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Megan Cesare-Eastman, Esq. K&L Gates LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94111

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Ms. Cesare-Eastman:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates Alcoa Inc.'s interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thanne Cox

Attorney Advisor

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA
Melinda Garvey, EPA
Abhik Dutta, EPA
David Batson



75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Robert W. Jackmore, Area Manager ExxonMobil Environmental Services Company 3225 Gallows Road Fairfax, VA 22037

Mark A. Zuschek Exxon Mobil Corporation 3225 Gallows Road Fairfax, VA 22037

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. Jackmore and Mr. Zuschek:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates ExxonMobil's interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

To assist in your efforts, we offer to make a room available for your private discussions following our meeting on May 31, 2012. Neither I, nor any member of the EPA case team will be present during your private meeting. Further, we have asked David Batson, a neutral professional with the Conflict Prevention & Resolution Center at EPA Headquarters, to be available to assist you, as a confidential convening neutral, with your discussions and future efforts regarding a possible allocation. David has extensive experience assisting private parties as a convening neutral, mediator, and allocation specialist at other Superfund sites, and we hope his expertise can be of assistance. Pursuant to the provisions of 5 USC §574, any and all communications by any party with David Batson related to the meeting or his assistance of the

PRPs are completely confidential and exempt from FOIA disclosure, and cannot be shared with me or anyone associated with EPA. Should you have any questions regarding David's experience, references, or his offer of service as a neutral for your meeting, you may reach him at <u>david batson@verizon.net</u> or 202 / 494-8702 (cell).

EPA hopes you are able to participate in both meetings on May 31. If you need additional information about the meetings or the Yosemite Slough Site, you can contact me at (415) 972-3908 or <a href="mailto:cox.elizabeth@epa.gov">cox.elizabeth@epa.gov</a>. Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thanne Cox

Attorney Advisor

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA Melinda Garvey, EPA

Abhik Dutta, EPA



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Allen J. Danzig
Associate General Counsel - Environmental
The Sherwin Williams Company
101 Prospect Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44115

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. Danzig:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates Sherwin Williams Company's interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

EPA hopes you are able to participate in both meetings on May 31. Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Hanse G

**Attorney Advisor** 

Craig Cooper, EPA cc:

Melinda Garvey, EPA Abhik Dutta, EPA



75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

April 23, 2012

Alan D Hanley General Electric Co. 3135 Easton Turnpike Fairfield, CT 06828

RE: Yosemite Slough Site, San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. Hanley:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) appreciates General Electric's interest and participation in the Yosemite Slough Site (Site) Engineering Evaluation / Cost Analysis (EE/CA) development process. EPA has been working with potentially responsible parties (PRPs) and other stakeholders to gather as much input as possible to inform the Agency's decision. EPA's Remedial Project Manager has recently met with PRP technical representatives to discuss a range of alternatives which EPA could consider to address contamination in the slough. As a follow-up to that discussion, EPA is having a legal meeting with PRP counsel to discuss these alternatives prior to presenting them to the larger Technical Stakeholder Committee. That meeting is scheduled for 10 am on May 31, 2012 at EPA's Region 9 office.

EPA believes that this matter has the potential for settlement and wishes to take steps toward resolving this matter as expeditiously as possible. While the EE/CA is being developed, it may be useful for the PRPs to explore an allocation of their relative responsibility for site costs in order to be prepared for future negotiations with EPA. It has been the experience of EPA and PRPs at other sites that the expertise of a neutral allocation professional greatly increases the effectiveness of private PRP allocation efforts. Due to the short time before the start of substantive negotiations with EPA, we suggest that you consider the services of a neutral allocation professional to facilitate your efforts in this matter.

Sincerely, Thank Ga

Thanne Cox

Attorney Advisor

cc: Craig Cooper, EPA
Melinda Garvey, EPA
Abhik Dutta, EPA